TTAB

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

| In re application of | |) | |
|----------------------|--------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| SCEN CH | ATEAU PAVIE MACQUIN SCEA |) | Examiner: KAREN P. SEVERSON |
| BCEA CI | WIFWO LYAIR WYGGOIN BORN |) | TURNELL I. DEVELOON |
| Serial | No. 79/098,943 |) | Law Office: 117 |
| | . '1 05 0011 |) | |
| Filed: | April 27, 2011 |) | |
| For: | CHATEAU PAVIE MACQUIN |) | |
| | AND DESIGN |) | |

REQUEST FOR FURTHER SIXTY (60) DAY EXTENSION OF TIME FOR FILING OF APPLICANT'S APPEAL BRIEF BASED IN PART UPON EFFORTS TO RESOLVE WITH THE EXAMINER AN ACCEPTABLE RESOLUTION OF THE MATTER AND TO PERMIT TIME FOR THE FURTHER RECEIPT OF INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE CLIENT

The Applicant by and through its legal counsel, Burton S. Ehrlich of Ladas & Parry LLP, hereby requests a further sixty (60) day extension for the filing of the Applicant's brief to potentially allow for the Applicant to attempt to moot the need for this appeal by fully resolving this matter at the Examiner level. The additional time will allow for further consulting with the foreign based client representatives for the Applicant. In support of this Motion the Applicant submits as follows:

The Applicant previously requested reconsideration of the rejection of registrability in a paper filed with the Trademark Attorney Examiner. When the request for reconsideration was denied the Board subsequently set the briefing schedule of sixty (60) days for the filing of the Applicant's brief.

The Applicant's counsel further believes that there may still



be a further basis for resolving this matter with an amendment or submissions at the Examiner level. Based upon the current understanding of the situation it is believed that a potential resolution could exist to resolve issues.

The Applicant is in the further process of seeking instructions and clarifications from his foreign based client on pursuing a potential follow-up action, so as to seek to resolve this matter at the Examiner level. In part, additional time is needed for the further consideration of such a comprehensive resolution, which if resolved in this manner could fully moot the appeal. The additional time would include time for consulting with the client and with the Examiner in seeking a potential resolution. During the prior extension period Applicant's counsel was involved in an out of state Federal Court trial and post trial activities which impeded his ability to properly liaison with the client.

Furthermore, additional time would also be necessary for consulting with the principals for the client on the foreign based representatives potential appeal brief, should that become necessary. Foreign legal counsel further needs time to consult with the Applicant about this file and a potential response. Additionally, this revised briefing schedule will also accommodate the busy schedule of the Applicant's counsel who was during the time period heavily involved in District Court proceedings and issues, as well as the other impeding deadlines the ability to consult with the foreign based representatives for the Applicant.

During the time period Applicant's counsel was extremely busy with a Federal Court post trial briefs and activities and on other matters pertaining to discovery in other Federal Court cases and TTAB matters, urgent settlement matters on a District Court case including a settlement Court conference, as well as efforts in other Federal Court cases, as well as TTAB adversarial matters, and other matters with time sensitive deadlines involving both foreign and domestic intellectual property law related matters for a number of clients. Also, personal issues impeded the ability of applicant's counsel within the last sixty (60) days with applicant's counsel having had both his mother-in-law and father pass away. This extension will allow for counsel to consult and prepare any necessary papers.

Based upon the foregoing, it is respectfully requested that the due date for the brief be reset for sixty (60) days with the Applicant's brief under this extension becoming due on January 15, 2016.

Burton S. Ehrlich Ladas & Parry 224 South Michigan Avenue Chicago, IL 60604 (312) 427-1300 Respectfully submitted,

Burton S. Ehrlich Attorney for Applicant

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail addressed to ATTN: TTAB - NO FEE, Assistant Commissioner for Trademarks, U.S. Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1451, Alexandria, VA 22313-1451, on November 6, 2015.

Burton Ś. Ehrlich

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing REQUEST FOR FURTHER SIXTY (60) DAY EXTENSION OF TIME FOR FILING OF APPLICANT'S APPEAL BRIEF IN PART BASED UPON FURTHER EFFORTS TO RESOLVE WITH THE EXAMINER AN ACCEPTABLE RESOLUTION OF THE MATTER AND TO PERMIT TIME FOR THE FURTHER RECEIPT OF INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE CLIENT is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class mail in an envelope addressed to: KAREN P. SEVERSON, Law Office 117, Commissioner for Trademarks, U.S. Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1451, Alexandria, VA 22313-1451, on November 6, 2015.

Burton S. EhrMch

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

| In re application of |) |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| SCEA CHATEAU PAVIE MACQUIN SCEA |) Examiner:) KAREN P. SEVERSON) |
| Serial No. 79/098,943 |) Law Office: 117 |
| Filed: April 27, 2011 |)) |
| For: CHATEAU PAVIE MACQUIN AND DESIGN |) |

湖

REQUEST FOR FURTHER SIXTY (60) DAY EXTENSION OF TIME FOR FILING OF APPLICANT'S APPEAL BRIEF BASED IN PART UPON EFFORTS TO RESOLVE WITH THE EXAMINER AN ACCEPTABLE RESOLUTION OF THE MATTER AND TO PERMIT TIME FOR THE FURTHER RECEIPT OF INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE CLIENT

The Applicant by and through its legal counsel, Burton S. Ehrlich of Ladas & Parry LLP, hereby requests a further sixty (60) day extension for the filing of the Applicant's brief to potentially allow for the Applicant to attempt to moot the need for this appeal by fully resolving this matter at the Examiner level. The additional time will allow for further consulting with the foreign based client representatives for the Applicant. In support of this Motion the Applicant submits as follows:

The Applicant previously requested reconsideration of the rejection of registrability in a paper filed with the Trademark Attorney Examiner. When the request for reconsideration was denied the Board subsequently set the briefing schedule of sixty (60) days for the filing of the Applicant's brief.

The Applicant's counsel further believes that there may still

be a further basis for resolving this matter with an amendment or submissions at the Examiner level. Based upon the current understanding of the situation it is believed that a potential resolution could exist to resolve issues.

The Applicant is in the further process of seeking instructions and clarifications from his foreign based client on pursuing a potential follow-up action, so as to seek to resolve this matter at the Examiner level. In part, additional time is needed for the further consideration of such a comprehensive resolution, which if resolved in this manner could fully moot the appeal. The additional time would include time for consulting with the client and with the Examiner in seeking a potential resolution. During the prior extension period Applicant's counsel was involved in an out of state Federal Court trial and post trial activities which impeded his ability to properly liaison with the client.

Furthermore, additional time would also be necessary for consulting with the principals for the client on the foreign based representatives potential appeal brief, should that become necessary. Foreign legal counsel further needs time to consult with the Applicant about this file and a potential response. Additionally, this revised briefing schedule will also accommodate the busy schedule of the Applicant's counsel who was during the time period heavily involved in District Court proceedings and issues, as well as the other impeding deadlines the ability to consult with the foreign based representatives for the Applicant.

During the time period Applicant's counsel was extremely busy with a Federal Court post trial briefs and activities and on other matters pertaining to discovery in other Federal Court cases and TTAB matters, urgent settlement matters on a District Court case including a settlement Court conference, as well as efforts in other Federal Court cases, as well as TTAB adversarial matters, and other matters with time sensitive deadlines involving both foreign and domestic intellectual property law related matters for a number of clients. Also, personal issues impeded the ability of applicant's counsel within the last sixty (60) days with applicant's counsel having had both his mother-in-law and father pass away. This extension will allow for counsel to consult and prepare any necessary papers.

Based upon the foregoing, it is respectfully requested that the due date for the brief be reset for sixty (60) days with the Applicant's brief under this extension becoming due on January 15, 2016.

Burton S. Ehrlich Ladas & Parry 224 South Michigan Avenue Chicago, IL 60604 (312) 427-1300 Respectfully submitted,

Burton S. Ehrlich Attorney for Applicant

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail addressed to ATTN: TTAB - NO FEE, Assistant Commissioner for Trademarks, U.S. Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1451, Alexandria, VA 22313-1451, on November 6, 2015.

Burton S. Ehrlich

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing REQUEST FOR FURTHER SIXTY (60) DAY EXTENSION OF TIME FOR FILING OF APPLICANT'S APPEAL BRIEF IN PART BASED UPON FURTHER EFFORTS TO RESOLVE WITH THE EXAMINER AN ACCEPTABLE RESOLUTION OF THE MATTER AND TO PERMIT TIME FOR THE FURTHER RECEIPT OF INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE CLIENT is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class mail in an envelope addressed to: KAREN P. SEVERSON, Law Office 117, Commissioner for Trademarks, U.S. Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1451, Alexandria, VA 22313-1451, on November 6, 2015.

Burton S. EhrMch